

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

**IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT**

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

JOSEPH EDWARD MARSHALL,

Defendant and Appellant.

F042034

(Super. Ct. No. 89613)

OPINION

THE COURT*

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Tulare County. Ronn M. Couillard, Judge.

Deborah Prucha, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

Bill Lockyer, Attorney General, Robert R. Anderson, Chief Assistant Attorney General, Jo Graves, Assistant Attorney General, and Carlos A. Martinez, Deputy Attorney General, for Plaintiff and Respondent.

-ooOoo-

* Before Harris, Acting P.J., Buckley, J., and Gomes, J.

Appellant Joseph Marshall pled no contest to 26 counts of identity theft (Pen. Code, § 530.5),¹ four counts of second degree burglary (§§ 459; 460, subd. (b)) and individual counts of conspiracy to commit identity theft and theft of account information (§§ 182, subd. (a)(1); 530.5; 484e, subd. (a)) and possession of methamphetamine (Health & Saf. Code, § 11377). The court imposed an aggregate sentence of 12 years 8 months.

Appellant's appointed appellate counsel has filed an opening brief which summarizes the pertinent facts, with citations to the record, raises no issues, and asks that this court independently review the record. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436.) Appellant has not responded to this court's invitation to submit additional briefing.

Following independent review of the record, we have concluded that no reasonably arguable legal or factual issues exist.

The judgment is affirmed.

¹ Except as otherwise indicated, all statutory references are to the Penal Code.